

Attorney Docket No. 1-74748

REMARKS

The Examiner's finding that Claims 10, 16 through 18, 25, and 26 contain allowable subject matter is gratefully acknowledged. Accordingly, the Application now includes amended independent Claim 24 (which incorporates the salient limitations of now-cancelled Claim 25), and new Independent Claim 27 (which incorporates the salient limitations of original Claim 24 and now-cancelled Claim 26). Claims 22 and 23 have been cancelled.


The courtesy of the Examiner in granting the undersigned attorney a telephonic interview on July 10, 2006 is gratefully acknowledged. During that interview, Claims 1 and 19 were discussed in light of the Weiss et al. reference. It was discussed that the Weiss et al. reference does not show or suggest an article holder pivotally connected to a body and movable between storage and deployed positions, wherein the article holder is removably mounted to the body when in the deployed position, as claimed in amended Claims 1 and 19. Specifically, it was discussed that the Weiss et al. reference (see column 3, lines 44 through 67) defines only two positions for the article holder (18), a lying position (Fig. 1), and a standing position (Figs. 1 and 2). The article holder (18) is not removably mounted in either the lying or standing positions. The Examiner agreed that previously amended Claims 1 and 19 define over the prior art discussed, subject to further search and consideration.

Claim 21 was discussed in light of the Weiss et al. reference. It was discussed that the Weiss et al. reference does not show or suggest an interior trim component having a lid supported on a body for movement between first and second lid positions, and an article holder supported on the body for movement independent of the lid between first and second article holder positions, wherein the article holder is selectively engageable with the lid for movement with the lid between the first and second lid positions, as claimed in amended Claim 21. Specifically, it was discussed that the lid and article holder in the Weiss et al. reference are the same object, indicated by the reference numeral (18). Accordingly, the lid/article holder (18)

Attorney Docket No. 1-74748

cannot be selectively engageable with itself. The Examiner agreed that amended Claim 21 defines over the prior art discussed, subject to further search and consideration. The Examiner further agreed to act favorably on this Amendment when filed.

Respectfully submitted,



Gregory W. Robinette  
Reg. No. 56,117

MacMillan, Sobanski & Todd, LLC  
One Maritime Plaza, Fifth Floor  
720 Water Street  
Toledo, Ohio 43604  
(419) 255-5900